

Image: Birthright of Freedom ©2011 lou phinneystoltz

Declaration of Intent

PhinneyStoltz Family

Promise of Civil Disobedience

ORIGINALLY PUBLISHED 2007

DOI: PG 1

Affirmation:
PG 11

Proposed
Amendment:
PG 12

Appendix: Notices
Cover Letters
FED ONLY STATE
UPON REQUEST

PhinneyStoltz
Declaration of Intent:

ORIGINALLY PUBLISHED TO OUR
GOVERNMENT FEBRUARY 2007

1/12

Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz
1540 Seneca Drive Melbourne Florida 32935-4117

BUT, TO SPEAK PRACTICALLY AND AS A CITIZEN, UNLIKE THOSE WHO CALL THEMSELVES NO-GOVERNMENT MEN, I ASK FOR, NOT AT ONCE NO GOVERNMENT, BUT AT ONCE A BETTER GOVERNMENT. LET EVERY MAN MAKE KNOWN WHAT KIND OF GOVERNMENT WOULD COMMAND HIS RESPECT, AND THAT WILL BE ONE STEP TOWARD OBTAINING IT. Henry David Thoreau

To Whom It May Concern:

Cliff and I are both men and have been a family for over twenty one years. It was love at first sight, and against unbelievable odds, we have not only maintained our family, but have grown to love and appreciate each other more than that day we met in February, nineteen eighty five.

Enclosed please find our Declaration of Intent [DOI]. Our reason for recording and publishing this DOI stems from our dismay at the lack of empathy by our brothers and sisters in our society that would casually deny us the sanctity of our family.


Most recently, we have bought our first home. Cliff was forced to sign documents that claimed he is a single man. I was forced to withdraw my name, from the contract and deed, as a condition for the loan that would allow our family, to “live the American Dream”.

Our family loves the law, and so to assure there is no misunderstanding or misconstruing our actions by our brothers and sisters or the law, we are recording and publishing this sworn DOI to make perfectly clear our affront to the casualness in which our family sanctity is disregarded.

This Declaration of Intent is Disclosure.

Thank you for reading this, and the answer to how you can help is by joining us in defying these archaic, disenfranchising and unconstitutional laws, and most importantly . . . by talking about your descent *and the Effects thereof*.

Sincerely yours,



Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz

Enclosures

Messrs. PhinneyStoltz do have and hold Reciprocal, General, Power of Attorney: Cliff and Lou Respectively: CFN: 2007199532; 2007199530 : OR: BK 5804 p8713; 5804 p8709 : Recorded : 081707 : 1448HRS : Brevard County, Florida, USA



Declaration of Intent: 

WHEN, in the Course of Human Events, it becomes necessary for Citizens to disavow unconscionable and unconstitutional laws opposed upon them by Their government, and to assume, among the Powers of the Earth, the Separate and Equal Station to which the Laws of Nature entitle Us, a decent Respect to the Opinions of All requires that we should declare the Causes which impel Our Political Protest and Disavowing of Said Laws that Actually Effect Our Everyday Life As A Family.

We hold these Truths to be self-evident, that all People are created equal, and that they are endowed, with certain unalienable Rights, which among these rights are Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness.

It is on These Principles that we, Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz, lay our foundation of freedom and from where we lay our claim to Our **BIRTHRIGHT OF FREEDOM**; The Declaration of Independence states:

That to secure these Rights, Governments are instituted among The People, deriving their just Powers from the Consent of the Governed, that whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these Ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its Foundation on such Principles, and organizing its Powers in such Form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness.

Cliff PhinneyStoltz and I, Lou PhinneyStoltz, have been a family for over twenty one years as of this writing. Our Union has in no way Harmed, Disenfranchised or Hindered any other Citizens' Right to Exist or Move Through Society Freely, yet our Birthright of Freedom, to choose for Ourselves Our Pursuit of Happiness is morally, unconstitutionally and illegally being withheld from Us, by the very People charged within our Institutions with the safe keeping of these Principles, and in so doing, are Hindering Our Free Movement Through Society, and is a direct harm to Us as Citizens and as Human Beings.

In 1819, the Supreme Court found that:

In the legislature of the Union alone, are all represented. The legislature of the Union alone, therefore, can be trusted by the people with the power of controlling measures which concern all, in the confidence that it will not be abused. *McCulloch v. Maryland*, 4 Wheat. 316 (1819)

Based on the principle of stare decisis, the *McCulloch* case set the standard that the Constitution, or Federalism, is the foundation for the unity of our nation, yet, through so called State Legislative Acts, specifically, the so called Baby Defense of Marriage Acts, based on the so called Federal Defense of Marriage Act, and what Our Federal Supreme and several State Supreme Courts have reasoned and ruled *A Fundamental Human Right (or marriage)*, the Birthright of Freedom assured All, is Willfully being Held Against This American Family in direct violation to those very Principles and Documents in support thereof, and that is Unacceptable.

Only one glance at the first clause to Article IV of Our Constitution and the so called *defense of marriage acts* and we at once understand how far *wrong* we have gone, and with this simple glance, the understanding we have of that reality needs no interpretation by politicians, priests or pundits wishing to argue irreverence.



Declaration of Intent:



Section 1 Article IV United States Constitution:

Full Faith and Credit shall be given in each State to the public Acts, Records, and judicial Proceedings of every other State. And the Congress may by general Laws prescribe the Manner in which such Acts, Records and Proceedings shall be proved, and the Effect thereof.

Section 1738C. Federal Defense of Marriage Act (DOMA)

No State. . . shall be required to give effect to any public act, record, or judicial proceeding of any other State. . . respecting a relationship between persons of the same sex that is treated as a marriage under the laws of such other State. . . , or a right or claim arising from such relationship.

CH741.212 (2) Florida Article XLIII Chapter 741.212

The State, ... may not give effect to any public act, record, or judicial proceeding of any state, territory, possession, or tribe of the United States or of any other jurisdiction, either domestic or foreign, or any other place or location respecting either a marriage or relationship not recognized under subsection (1) or a claim arising from such a marriage or relationship.

Webster's dictionary defines inalienable as: *incapable of being alienated, surrendered, or transferred*, and yet, right now, today, the Security of American Families is being denied Us as Our government withholds Our right to determine for Ourselves whom it is We love and wish to be spouses of, to and with. Our legislatures have taken the last four words of our Federal Article Four Clause as reasoning for their behavior, but it is an ethereal argument to take four out of forty six words (*and the effect thereof*) as the vanguard of ones actions, then, to justify those actions, lay claim to a sky is falling mentality and then postulate all types of unforeseen problems to make the inculcation fit, while the reality is, Gay Americans Are and Have been Living As Families for as long as We have been Americans, and the security of Our Families Is Being Denied Us.

THE PHINNEYSTOLTZ FAMILY has lived as a family, every day, for the past twenty one years, and We Challenge, in This Declaration, Any Person and or Entity to come forth and prove the Effect of Our Family Causing Them Any Harm or Disenfranchising of their Substantive Rights as Citizens, for We Maintain it Can Not Be Done!

"Four left-wing judges presumed to know what the definition of marriage is for 280 million Americans," Roberta Combs, president of the Christian Coalition said in a statement. "Traditional marriage has been dealt a severe blow beginning with so-called legalized homosexual 'marriages' ... an abomination which must not be allowed to continue."

. . . Boston Archbishop Sean O'Malley . . . said last week, "The creation of a right to same-sex marriage in the end will not strengthen the institution of marriage within our society, but only weaken it, as marriage becomes only one lifestyle choice among many others." Conservatives Angrily Attack Mass. Gay Marriages by Doreen Brandt 65Gay.com Newscenter Washington Bureau Posted: May 17, 2004 12:01 am. ET

The Judaic-Christian Bible says: *If man lies with man as one lies with woman, both have committed an abomination. They shall be put to death; their blood shall be on their own heads.* Leviticus 20:13 King James Version



Declaration of Intent: 

One might find this quote phrased somewhat differently depending on the actual bible read, but it is clear, that the law is laid, and with the term: *They shall be put to death.* . . ., we claim anyone this edict is directed against *is* given just cause to rally against any supposition based upon it! Calling for the death of a *class of people*, while at the same time blaming them for their own death, because the callers are benevolently offended, or because their dogma tells them it is so, *Is* the quintessence of bigotry.

The Supreme Court ruled, in 1967 ... [t]he freedom to marry has long been recognized as one of the vital personal rights. *Loving v Virginia* 388 U.S. 1 (1967)

It is ridiculous to point out that nowhere does the court make an explicit or implied exception in this reasoning for Gay Americans, yet, it seems that is the exact conclusion pundits of these acts surmise, but when they realized there was no actual Law that excluded Gay Americans from the Protection of Marriage Licensing, they began to write laws that did scheme to exclude Gay Americans from their Birthright of Freedom.

The Vermont Supreme Court, called marriage, one of the *natural rights of human nature*. *Baker v State* 744 A.2d 864 (Vt. 1999), and in that same year, the legislature rewrote the law defining marriage as a union between one man and one woman, and in the scheming of the law, the writers were so embolden as to come right out and say the law does attempt to restrict these natural rights against a group of people that offend them.

When Any Person Uses Their Offense of Our Person(s) to Withhold and Or Subjugate Our Freedom, They Are In Fact not only acting Offensively, They Are In Fact Harming Us and *therefore* Are Guilty of Un-American Behavior, AND **Grounds for This Declaration of Intent.**

WE Declare and Assert All So Called Defense of Marriage Acts Are **BILLS OF ATTAINDER.** . . . *a law imposing a punishment, on someone, or on a class of people, without a trial.* . . . Webster's Legal Dictionary ©1996 and *therefore* Un-Constitutional under Our U.S. Constitution; the Limits on Congress clause states: *No Bill of Attainder or ex post facto Law shall be passed.* Article I §9(c) [NOTE TO READER: THIS IS THE CLAUSE IN TOTAL.]

Many will argue the merits of this argument. There is no punishment, they will say: there is no single person being singled out, they will say; there is no class of citizens being singled out, they will say, but we contend these critiques in themselves skew the reality of what is.

The Bill of Attainder Clause was intended not as a narrow, technical ... prohibition, but rather as an implementation of the separation of powers, a general safeguard against legislative exercise of the judicial function or more simply – trial by legislature. *U.S. v. Brown*, 381 U.S. 437, 440 (1965)

. . . a bill of attainder was a legislative act that singled out one or more persons and imposed punishment on them, without benefit of trial. Such actions were regarded as odious by the framers of the Constitution because it was the traditional role of a court, judging an individual case, to impose punishment. *William H. Rehnquist, Railroad Retirement Board v Fritz*, 499 U.S. 166 (1980)

Bills of attainder, ex post facto laws, and laws impairing the obligations of contracts, are contrary to the first principles of the social compact, and to every principle of sound legislation. James Madison, Federalist Number 44, 1788



Declaration of Intent:

Gay Americans go to school, pay taxes, shop, create families, go to church, are in the PTA, are law abiding citizens and are our neighbors. In the frenzy that was the San Francisco marriage movement, the first couple to receive a license was a couple that had been a family for over fifty one years. Gay American Families are here; yet, this class of citizens has been disenfranchised by a demand to condemn their families as less than sacrosanct.

Many people become uncomfortable with bill of attainder issues, because attainder bills, as a standard, have been perceived to conclude, if there is no punishment connected with the law, and then allowing incarceration or death as the only examples of punishment, there is no bill of attainder. Our Declaration, Our Disclosure and Our Intent Challenges This Supposition, and we shall see how our freedom is affected.

The April 2000 Vermont Civil Union Law reads . . . *to be eligible for a civil union license, the couple seeking the license must be of the same sex and therefore excluded from the marriage laws of this state.* 15 VSA Chapter 23 §1202 (2)

. . . [t]his Court characterized the reciprocal rights and responsibilities flowing from the marriage laws as “the natural rights of human nature.”
Baker v State 744 A.2d 864 (Vt. 1999)

For Gay Americans in the State of Vermont wishing to Consecrate Their Families, All are first *required*, by Law; to Declare Themselves **VOID** of *the natural rights of human nature*, and We Argue Such a Requirement IS a Punishment! In fact, even though the Per Curiam in Baker v State did uphold the constitutionality of the Vermont Marriage Statutes, it also ruled under Chapter I, Article 7 of the Vermont Constitution that All Vermonters must be afforded the same protections, and then laid the effect of the Court’s decision suspended, and jurisdiction retained in the Court, to permit the Legislature to consider and enact legislation *consistent with the constitutional mandate described within the Opinion.* Baker v State 744 A.2d 864 (Vt. 1999)

What did the legislature do and what was sanctioned by the court as being consistent with the constitutional mandate described in the opinion?

The *First Sentence* of the Vermont Civil Union Law Reads:

- (1) Civil marriage under Vermont’s marriage statutes consists of a union between a man and a woman. This interpretation of the state’s marriage laws was upheld by the Supreme Court in Baker v. State. 15 VSA Chapter 23 §1202

THE LAW REFERRED TO IN THIS REFERENCE WAS REWRITTEN **AFTER** THE REFERENCED RULING THAT CLAIMED IT CONSTITUTIONAL. THE ORIGINAL LAW DID NOT EXCLUDE ANY MAN FROM MARRYING AN OTHER MAN, OR ANY WOMAN FROM MARRYING ANY OTHER WOMEN. THE LAW DOES DO THAT NOW, BUT IT HAS BEEN WRITTEN TO BE CLAIMED AS BEING UPHELD AS CONSTITUTIONAL IN BAKER V STATE; **IT IS NOT.**

For those that would argue there is no punishment attached to the exclusion of Gay Americans to their Birthright of Freedom, here are but three of over fourteen punishments cast upon this group as defined by the Supreme Court of Vermont:

A) HOMESTEAD RIGHTS AND PROTECTIONS; B) THE PRESUMPTION OF JOINT OWNERSHIP OF PROPERTY; C) THE CONCOMITANT RIGHT OF SURVIVORSHIP (Baker v State 744 A.2d 864 (Vt.1999))

IT is clear that the Declaration of Independence places the authority to rule in the hands of the people. It can be argued that the declaration insists that it is through god that we as humans obtain our right to exist, but it can not be argued that regardless of that



Declaration of Intent: 

argument, Our Government only has the right to exist by leave of the governed, and only to secure the natural rights of said governed, and nowhere is it expressed or implied in Our Constitution, that we are governed by any but those that do our bidding. Our Government is Not Something Outside of Ourselves. Clearly, The Declaration states, *that governments derive their powers from the will of the people*. It is by, of, and for the People we need rules to secure our freedom that Our American Government was created, and this *is* the essence of what it means to be an American. We as Americans are not free because we are Americans. We are free because we are. We are Americans because we have created Our Government and Allowed It to Rule under this supposition. The supposition, which dictates, Our Government, is not benevolent, and that we can debate the Law of God, but we will live under the Law of The People, laying the foundation of that Law on the Principle that we do not discriminate against, or Restrict Freedoms of, Any Person(s) Whose Acts Do Not Harm Another, and though it is a Fact, Undisputed, and the Truth, that Our Family has not Effected Negatively Any other Citizen, the so called Defense of Marriage Act points at Us Alone and Declares Us Unworthy of Our Birth Right of Freedom, because We have the audacity to Secure The Assurance of Our Freedom, that IS being Denied Us, and in but another attempt to bare false witness on their neighbors, Pundits of these laws claim they prevent the so called Homosexual Agenda from making gays a “Special Class of Citizens”, but in reality, this act, and the State acts derived thereof, do two things simultaneously, that Our Supreme Court has thus far found intolerable. They each have pointed an eye to a very specific and small group of citizens, and then broadly and completely denied them all recourse to the law regarding the instant issue(s).

To the idea “being gay” is a life style *choice*, and because it is a choice, it is opened to discrimination, it would be vital to point out that any person that would claim themselves Buddhist, Jewish, Christian, Atheist, or Muslim, have made a choice to be so. None can support their choice with substantive proof (evidence) that there is a biological or innate cause for a religious persuasion, yet, we assure, in Our Constitution the security to spell this protection out, for we realize and understand that we do not always agree with our brothers and sisters with the choices they may make, but our society lays the entire foundation of its civilization on the fact that so long as the choices we each individually make do not harm another, we have the birth right to move through society unhindered, living by our choices and not punished because someone else finds our choice, our person, ourselves, offensive.

In 1996, the Supreme Court ruled on Colorado’s Amendment 2. This Amendment had stripped all passed laws that secured the rights of Gay Coloradans, and restricted any other like laws to be passed. The court had found that it was not the Citizens that where attempting to create a Special Class of Citizens, it was indeed Their State which was attempting to create a Class of Citizens, and the Court found that Amendment 2 of Colorado’s Constitution violated the XIV Amendment of Our U.S. Constitution (see page 2 par 6-8), when It said:

First, the amendment is at once too narrow and too broad, identifying persons by a single trait and then denying them the possibility of protection across the board. This disqualification of a class of persons from the right to obtain specific protection from the law is unprecedented and is itself a denial of equal protection in the most literal sense. *Romer v Evans* 517 U.S. 620 (1996)



Declaration of Intent: 

Our Family Needs No Further Reasoning in Securing the Sanctity of Our Family. We use this reasoning with the Principle, in Contract Law, which supposes the writer of a contract the accountability of any ambiguity in the contract they wrote, coupled with the principle in law that allows if something is left out of a law, or, in fact removed as an element of a law, it is done so intentionally and with forethought, to Disclose The Following:.

TO THE BEST OF OUR KNOWLEDGE, STARTING IN THE TAX YEAR 2005, THE UNITED STATES IRS NO LONGER MADE GENDER AN ELEMENT FOR DEFINITION OF SPOUSE ON OUR 1040 TAX FORM.

AT THE SAME TIME, THE 1040 DIRECTIONS DEFINED MARRIAGE AS A UNION BETWEEN ONE MAN AND ONE WOMAN.

IT IS THE DISPARITY BETWEEN THESE ISSUES, WHICH IS, WHO IS WHOM, IN THE MARRIAGE, WHICH BECOMES MATERIALLY AMBIVALENT WITH THE SUBTRACTION OF GENDER AS AN ELEMENT FOR DEFINITION OF SPOUSE.

THIS ambiguity allows The PhinneyStoltz Family to disavow the definition and conclude the subtraction of the element as the standard.

This we have done, and thus have Willfully Filed: Married Filing Jointly AS the reality of our situation, (Our Family), and thus, Further Cause and Justification for this Declaration of Intent.

FROM This Day Forward Let It Be Known: Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz Proclaim they are no single males living in America as Roommates or Joint Tenants. We are an American Family, and we will be treated as no less than this definition: **We are spouses** of to and for each other. Any Declaration By Any Government, Individual or Entity, that would claim Cliff PhinneyStoltz, or Lou PhinneyStoltz as a Single Un-Married Person does so in Defiance of Reality, Rule of Law and any Type of Legitimate Authority. Further, Our Declaration of Intent Is a **POLITICAL PROTEST AGAINST OUR GOVERNMENT** with direct regard to the ambiguity of our Contract, and that We *Anticipate Continued Breach* of Our Social Contract by Our Government.

FROM This Day Forward, We will Fight in Court Any Person, Government or Entity that would make a claim We Are Single Persons for Any Reason, and if forced to acquiescence, if we do so acquiesce, in No Way Shall That Be Construed as Our Agreement to that Falsehood, but in Fact, Proof of Our Harm and Righteous Indignation as well as claim The Obvious Obstruction of Our Justice and the Violation of not Only Our Substantive Rights, but too Our Due Process Rights As Law Abiding Citizens.

We have a Birthright of Freedom that dictates we can and shall move in and out of society unhindered, yet, on December 29, 2006, (ten years after Romer v Evans) the PhinneyStoltz Family Bought Their First House. After twenty one years together, Our Family has finally gone from renting to living the American Dream.

But, for Our American Dream to Come True, at Closing, Our Family Was Forced to Sign Documents in front of strangers, stating We are Single Persons, while on the



Declaration of Intent: 

deed, showed the persons we bought our house from as husband and wife but provided no proof or evidence to support that claim; their claim was given as granted.

Because of our marital status, that is being denied Our Family, We Were Thus Denied the Same and Equal Rights as the sellers, even though it was Vital Our Family Sign a Document Specifically Stating the Entity(s) that *were* Discrimination against Us Were Not discriminating against Us, and yet, the writer of this document does not have his name on Our Deed as a Spouse, even though Our Family Bought the House.

If We Were not being Punished for the Abomination of Our so called Life Style, why then were these rights not mirrored for Our Family, as they were for the sellers: *Homestead rights and protections; the presumption of joint ownership of property; The concomitant right of survivorship . . .* (see page 5 Par 12)

Why, if no one is harmed by these laws was the insistence of our exclusion so important to the strangers in our situation that the disavowing of our family was made a condition for our loan? It can be argued, the extra cost to file a quit-claim deed as joint tenants after the fact is harmful to us by incurring costs no heterosexual American Family needs to think about let alone execute, but to even do this, we will again be forced to declare ourselves Single Men, and We Are Not.

OUR DECLARATION OF INTENT IS A STARTING POINT TO END THIS AFFRONT.

WE WERE COERCED AND EXTORTED INTO SIGNING DOCUMENTS THAT ARE FRAUDULENT AND SIMULATED, AND THOUGH A GUN WAS NOT PUT TO OUR HEAD TO SIGN THESE DOCUMENTS AS THEY ARE, STRANGERS, ACTING AS PSEUDO AGENTS FOR THE STATE, WHEN THEY REPEATEDLY DECLARED, "THE STATE OF FLORIDA DOESN'T ACKNOWLEDGE YOU AS MARRIED," DID SO HOLD THE SECURITY OF OUR FAMILY AT RISK, AND DID SO HOLD, OUR AMERICAN DREAM, TO OUR THROATS, AS SURELY AS IF IT WERE A KNIFE, AND TOLD US, IF WE CHANGED ANY DOCUMENT OR DID NOT SIGN ANY DOCUMENT EXACTLY THE WAY IT WAS, THE DEAL WOULD BE OFF, AND THE SECURITY AND DREAM ALL AMERICAN FAMILIES LIVE FOR WOULD BE DENIED US, FOR NO INTEREST OF THE STATE, OR ANY PERSON IN THE CHAIN THAT HELPED THE STATE, TO CARRY OUT ITS DISCRIMINATION.

FROM This Day Forward... We Will Prove... No American Has Been or Can Be Harmed... Disenfranchised... Or Hindered by Our Deliberate and Cognizant Disavowing of Any and All Laws that would in Any Way Restrict Our Birthright of Freedom, to have Our Family's Sanctity Protected and Secured by *Our Government*.

Our Declaration of Intent, By Citizens *Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz* is created to Disclose Our *Conscious* Disavowing, Disregarding, and Disassociation of Our Lives from Any So Called Defense of Marriage Acts, and We Will Fortrightly and With Vigor Litigate with Any Government, Individual or Entity that would Willfully Disavow, Disenfranchise or Disassociate Us from Our Birthright of Freedom or the Sanctity of Our Family.

We Regard the United States Declaration of Independence as Venerated, and it is from its Endearing Words and the Commitment to Our Family that We Will Fight and do what we must do, and in this Declaration, We Have Thus Begun to Take Our Birthright of Freedom Back, and yet, we acknowledge, the words of Our Declaration of



Declaration of Intent:



Independence speak beyond this one issue, and say too, Our Fellow Citizens, We say that any one of us that would think or speak of Our Government As "The Government", or any one of us who would think or say, Our Government Grants Rights, does not get what It Means To Be An American. We know and acknowledge many will attempt to take these words out of context to further the status quo.

We expect to be purposefully misunderstood.

We say, you too, could use your energy to make the truth grow, and say in that vein, though the safety of complacency is most often swallowed bitter-sweet, it is the idea that there is a Void between Ourselves and Our Government that has created an Unconscionable Living Situation For Our Family, and so, Our Declaration of Intent is Written, Published and Spoken of, to Show Upon Us, of the so called Defense Of Marriage Acts, and the Effects thereof.

PRUDENCE, INDEED, WILL DICTATE, THAT GOVERNMENTS LONG ESTABLISHED, SHOULD NOT BE CHANGED FOR LIGHT AND TRANSIENT CAUSES; AND ACCORDINGLY ALL EXPERIENCE HATH SHEWN, THAT MANKIND ARE MORE DISPOSED TO SUFFER, WHILE EVILS ARE SUFFERABLE, THAN TO RIGHT THEMSELVES BY ABOLISHING THE FORMS TO WHICH THEY ARE ACCUSTOMED. BUT WHEN A LONG TRAIN OF ABUSES AND USURPATIONS, PURSUING INVARIABLY THE SAME OBJECT, EVINCES A DESIGN TO REDUCE THEM UNDER ABSOLUTE DESPOTISM, IT IS THEIR RIGHT, IT IS THEIR DUTY, TO THROW OFF SUCH GOVERNMENT, AND TO PROVIDE NEW GUARDS FOR THEIR FUTURE SECURITY.

The United States Declaration of Independence

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED, SWEAR WE KNOWINGLY WILL AND SHALL VIOLATE ANY AND ALL SO CALLED DEFENSE OF MARRIAGE ACTS AND OR AMENDMENTS, AND DO SO SWEAR AND ATTEST THIS IS A DEFIANT ACT OF CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE AND A POLITICAL PROTEST AND THUS ATTEST AND SWEAR THE TRUTH AND ACCURACY OF THE STATEMENTS HEREIN AND OUR INTENT TO MOVE THROUGH SOCIETY AS A FAMILY.

ORIGINAL FOR CERTIFICATION Words © 2007 Iou phinneystoltz

COPY FOR PUBLICATION

Cliff PhinneyStoltz
Cliff PhinneyStoltz


Dated 020507

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged by me this ___ day of ___, 20___ by: *Cliff PhinneyStoltz* who is personally known by me or who has produced: FL DMV ID # P523-100-65-340-0 as identification and who did take an oath to the forthrightness and accuracy of the statements herein.

Lou PhinneyStoltz
Lou PhinneyStoltz

Dated 020507

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged by me this ___ day of ___, 20___ by: *Lou PhinneyStoltz* who is personally known by me or who has produced: FL DMV ID # P523-520-60-408-0 as identification and who did take an oath to the forthrightness and accuracy of the statements herein.



James M. Ross

James M. Ross (SEAL)
Notary Public State of Florida
My Commission Expires: 08/03/2010

COPY FOR PUBLICATION



Declaration of Intent: 

P

roposed Federal Amendment to the
United States Constitution:

1. Full Faith and Credit **shall** be given in each State to any and all public Acts, Records, and judicial Proceedings of every other State, and the Effect thereof, regarding any laws that define for Americans our relationships, rights and responsibilities in the formation, existence and resolution of our families, and that Family Unions of each State shall be entitled to all Privileges and Immunities of Family Unions of all the States, no matter how a State may choose to name family unions, and further, No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of any Family Unions of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any Family Union, the right of its existence, nor deny to any person within the Family Union, and within its jurisdiction, the equal protection of the laws, based solely on the gender and or orientation of any of the family members.

2. Any and all State and Federal Defense of Marriage Acts; either as legislative acts or Constitutional Amendments, are repealed.

3. Any State unwilling to provide equal rights to any legally sanctioned Family Union will be in violation of Section 1 of this Amendment.

November 8, 2004 Lou PhinneyStoltz



FEDERAL NOTICES SENT TO:

IRS DC 500 N. Capitol St. NW Washington, DC 20221	Office of Chief Justice Supreme Court of The United States One First Street NE Washington, DC 29543
U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001	Office of the Senate President United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510
Office of the Speaker United States House of Representatives Washington D.C. 20515	Office of the President The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20500

STATE NOTICES SENT TO:

Office of Attorney General State of Florida The Capitol PL-01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050	Office Of Chief Justice Florida Supreme Court 500 South Duval Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1925
Office of the Governor The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-0001	Office of the Senate President The Division of Legislative Information Services, Room 704 Claude Pepper Building,
Office of the Speaker House of Representatives 513 The Capitol 402 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300	111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

NOTICES DELIVERED AND RECORDED
USPS DELIVERY CONFIRMATION





MRLOU IS AVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEWS, EXHIBITS, LECTURES, READINGS, DEBATES, AS WELL AS A SPEECH OR A POWER POINT PRESENTATION OF OUR DECLARATION TITLED **AMERICAN FAMILIES – NOT ALTERNATIVE FAMILIES**. PLEASE CONTACT OUR STUDIO FOR INFORMATION AND SCHEDULING.

Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz

1540 Seneca Drive Melbourne Florida 32935-4117


3 2 1 . 6 1 0 . 7 8 3 9

i n f o @ m r l o u s a r t . c o m

m r l o u s a r t . c o m

Messrs. PhinneyStoltz do have and hold Reciprocal, General, Power of Attorney: Cliff and Lou Respectively: CFN: 2007199532; 2007199530 : OR: BK 5804 p8713; 5804 p8709 : Recorded : 081707 : 1448HRS : Brevard County, Florida, USA





Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz
163 Decordre RD SE Palm Bay Florida 32909-3626
321.725.8446 clouclub@hotmail.com

IRS
DC 500 N. Capitol St. NW
Washington, DC 20221

Dear Sir or Madam:

Thank you in advance for your indulgence in reading this. We have not addressed this Cover Letter, or Our enclosed Declaration of Intent, to any one person, but instead have chosen to Address Symbolically Our Social Contract, both to Our Federal Government and in Our State of Florida, by Addressing Our Government through It's Branches rather than the individual in control of the Branch.

We do not actually believe we will engage any of our Leaders in this debate, and yet, if that were to happen, though the actual person in office may change, by the time that might happen, the facts, prayer and content is timeless unless or until it is addressed.

Please find enclosed a copy of our initial mailing list.


This Notice is Disclosure. This is the story of a family. This is a story of an American Family, and there are some things worth sacrificing for. We believe any person reading this would stand firm for the sanctity of their family and loved ones, and if not, more so this Declaration is for you.

We do not want to be imprisoned for being a family, but if that is what one outcome of Our Declaration may be, we stand firm in Our Declaration, and we would consider ourselves Political Prisoners, if that out come came to fruition.

Sincerely yours,

Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz





Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz
163 Decordre RD SE Palm Bay Florida 32909-3626
321.725.8446 clouclub@hotmail.com

Office of Chief Justice
Supreme Court of The Untied States
One First Street NE
Washington, DC 29543

Dear Sir or Madam:

Thank you in advance for your indulgence in reading this. We have not addressed this Cover Letter, or Our enclosed Declaration of Intent, to any one person, but instead have chosen to Address Symbolically Our Social Contract, both to Our Federal Government and in Our State of Florida, by Addressing Our Government through It's Branches rather than the individual in control of the Branch.


We do not actually believe we will engage any of our Leaders in this debate, and yet, if that were to happen, though the actual person in office may change, by the time that might happen, the facts, prayer and content is timeless unless or until it is addressed.

Please find enclosed a copy of our initial mailing list.

This Notice is Disclosure. This is the story of a family. This is a story of an American Family, and there are some things worth sacrificing for. We believe any person reading this would stand firm for the sanctity of their family and loved ones, and if not, more so this Declaration is for you.


We do not want to be imprisoned for being a family, but if that is what one outcome of Our Declaration may be, we stand firm in Our Declaration, and we would consider ourselves Political Prisoners, if that out come came to fruition.

Sincerely yours,



Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz





Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz
163 Decordre RD SE Palm Bay Florida 32909-3626
321.725.8446 clouclub@hotmail.com

U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Dear Sir or Madam:

Thank you in advance for your indulgence in reading this. We have not addressed this Cover Letter, or Our enclosed Declaration of Intent, to any one person, but instead have chosen to Address Symbolically Our Social Contract, both to Our Federal Government and in Our State of Florida, by Addressing Our Government through It's Branches rather than the individual in control of the Branch.


We do not actually believe we will engage any of our Leaders in this debate, and yet, if that were to happen, though the actual person in office may change, by the time that might happen, the facts, prayer and content is timeless unless or until it is addressed.

Please find enclosed a copy of our initial mailing list.

This Notice is Disclosure. This is the story of a family. This is a story of an American Family, and there are some things worth sacrificing for. We believe any person reading this would stand firm for the sanctity of their family and loved ones, and if not, more so this Declaration is for you.


We do not want to be imprisoned for being a family, but if that is what one outcome of Our Declaration may be, we stand firm in Our Declaration, and we would consider ourselves Political Prisoners, if that out come came to fruition.

Sincerely yours,



Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz





Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz
163 Decordre RD SE Palm Bay Florida 32909-3626
321.725.8446 clouclub@hotmail.com

Office of the Senate President
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Sir or Madam:

Thank you in advance for your indulgence in reading this. We have not addressed this Cover Letter, or Our enclosed Declaration of Intent, to any one person, but instead have chosen to Address Symbolically Our Social Contract, both to Our Federal Government and in Our State of Florida, by Addressing Our Government through It's Branches rather than the individual in control of the Branch.


We do not actually believe we will engage any of our Leaders in this debate, and yet, if that were to happen, though the actual person in office may change, by the time that might happen, the facts, prayer and content is timeless unless or until it is addressed.

Please find enclosed a copy of our initial mailing list.

This Notice is Disclosure. This is the story of a family. This is a story of an American Family, and there are some things worth sacrificing for. We believe any person reading this would stand firm for the sanctity of their family and loved ones, and if not, more so this Declaration is for you.


We do not want to be imprisoned for being a family, but if that is what one outcome of Our Declaration may be, we stand firm in Our Declaration, and we would consider ourselves Political Prisoners, if that out come came to fruition.

Sincerely yours,



Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz





Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz
163 Decordre RD SE Palm Bay Florida 32909-3626
321.725.8446 clouclub@hotmail.com

Office of the Speaker
United States House of Representatives
Washington D.C. 20515

Dear Sir or Madam:

Thank you in advance for your indulgence in reading this. We have not addressed this Cover Letter, or Our enclosed Declaration of Intent, to any one person, but instead have chosen to Address Symbolically Our Social Contract, both to Our Federal Government and in Our State of Florida, by Addressing Our Government through It's Branches rather than the individual in control of the Branch.


We do not actually believe we will engage any of our Leaders in this debate, and yet, if that were to happen, though the actual person in office may change, by the time that might happen, the facts, prayer and content is timeless unless or until it is addressed.

Please find enclosed a copy of our initial mailing list.

This Notice is Disclosure. This is the story of a family. This is a story of an American Family, and there are some things worth sacrificing for. We believe any person reading this would stand firm for the sanctity of their family and loved ones, and if not, more so this Declaration is for you.


We do not want to be imprisoned for being a family, but if that is what one outcome of Our Declaration may be, we stand firm in Our Declaration, and we would consider ourselves Political Prisoners, if that out come came to fruition.

Sincerely yours,



Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz





Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz
163 Decordre RD SE Palm Bay Florida 32909-3626
321.725.8446 clouclub@hotmail.com

Office of the President
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Sir or Madam:

Thank you in advance for your indulgence in reading this. We have not addressed this Cover Letter, or Our enclosed Declaration of Intent, to any one person, but instead have chosen to Address Symbolically Our Social Contract, both to Our Federal Government and in Our State of Florida, by Addressing Our Government through It's Branches rather than the individual in control of the Branch.


We do not actually believe we will engage any of our Leaders in this debate, and yet, if that were to happen, though the actual person in office may change, by the time that might happen, the facts, prayer and content is timeless unless or until it is addressed.

Please find enclosed a copy of our initial mailing list.

This Notice is Disclosure. This is the story of a family. This is a story of an American Family, and there are some things worth sacrificing for. We believe any person reading this would stand firm for the sanctity of their family and loved ones, and if not, more so this Declaration is for you.

We do not want to be imprisoned for being a family, but if that is what one outcome of Our Declaration may be, we stand firm in Our Declaration, and we would consider ourselves Political Prisoners, if that out come came to fruition.

Sincerely yours,



Messrs. Cliff and Lou PhinneyStoltz

